



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

BIRCH STEWART  
KOLASCH & BIRCH LLP  
P O BOX 747  
FALLS CHURCH VA 22040-0747

**MAILED**

AUG 04 2010

In re Patent No. 7,471,344	:	OFFICE OF PETITIONS
Issue Date: 30 December, 2008	:	
Application No. 09/499,369	:	ON PETITION
Filed: 7 February, 2000	:	
Attorney Docket No. 1190-0437P	:	

This is a decision on the petition filed on 17 November, 2009, treated as a request under 37 C.F.R. §3.81(b)<sup>1</sup> and §1.323 to correct the name of the assignee on the front page of the above-identified patent by way of a Certificate of Correction.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

Petitioner requests issuance of a certificate of correction to insert the name of “*NEC Display Solutions LTD.*”

The regulations at 37 C.F.R. §3.81(a) permits the patent to issue to the assignee, provided that, at the time the issue fee is paid, the name of an assignee is provided. The regulations at 37 C.F.R. §3.81 (b) permits the patent to issue in the name of an assignee if the assignment was submitted after payment of the issue fee but prior to issuance of a patent.

Patent and Trademark Office assignment records disclose that an assignment to *NEC Display Solutions LTD* was recorded on 10 September, 2008, at Reel/Frame 021536/0027. Thereafter the patent issued, as indicated above, on 10 November, 2009. (See, generally in this regard: MPEP §307.)

It is noted that Office Rules of Practice and the guidance in the Commentary require that Petitioner provide the recordation data with/in the petition.

---

<sup>1</sup> See MPEP 1309, subsection II; and Official Gazette of June 22, 2004.

Patent No. 7,471,344  
Application No. 09/499,369

Petitioner states that the correct assignee's name is *NEC Display Solutions LTD*, and that the correct assignee's name was not included on the Fee(s) Transmittal form PTOL-85(b) at the time of payment of the issue fee; thus, that information was not printed on the face of the patent.

Accordingly, Petitioner requests that a certificate of correction be issued to reflect the correct assignee on the front page of the Letters Patent in the patent to be issued from the application. The regulations at 37 C.F.R. §3.81(b), effective June 25, 2004, provide:

*After payment of the issue fee:* Any request for issuance of an application in the name of the assignee submitted after the date of payment of the issue fee, and any request for a patent to be corrected to state the name of the assignee, must state that the assignment was submitted for recordation as set forth in § 3.11 before issuance of the patent, and must include a request for a certificate of correction under § 1.323 of this chapter (accompanied by the fee set forth in §1.20(a) and the processing fee set forth in §1.17(i) of this chapter.

The request was accompanied by a certificate of correction (and fee) as required by 3.81(b). Further, Office assignment records reflect assignment as set forth above.

Out of an abundance of caution, Petitioners always are reminded that those registered to practice and all others who make representations before the Office are reminded to inquire into the underlying facts of representations made to the Office and support averments with the appropriate documentation—since all owe to the Office the continuing duty to disclose.<sup>2</sup>

The availability of applications and application papers online to applicants/practitioners who diligently associate their Customer Number with the respective application(s) now provides an applicant/practitioner on-demand information as to events/transactions in an application.

Accordingly, because the request complies with the provisions of 37 C.F.R. 3.81(b), it is appropriate for a certificate of correction to be processed.

This matter is being referred to the Certificates of Correction Branch for processing of a certificate of correction after issuance of this application into a patent.

---

<sup>2</sup> See supplement of 17 June, 1999. The Patent and Trademark Office is relying on Petitioner's duty of candor and good faith and accepting a statement made by Petitioner. See *Changes to Patent Practice and Procedure*, 62 Fed. Reg. at 53160 and 53178, 1203 Off. Gaz. Pat. Office at 88 and 103 (responses to comments 64 and 109)(applicant obligated under 37 C.F.R. §10.18 to inquire into the underlying facts and circumstances when providing statements to the Patent and Trademark Office).

Patent No. 7,471,344  
Application No. 09/499,369

Telephone inquiries regarding this decision may be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3214—it is noted, however, that all practice before the Office is in writing (see: 37 C.F.R. §1.2<sup>3</sup>) and the proper authority for action on any matter in this regard are the statutes (35 U.S.C.), regulations (37 C.F.R.) and the commentary on policy (MPEP). Therefore, no telephone discussion may be controlling or considered authority for Petitioner's action(s).

Any questions concerning the issuance of a certificate of correction should be directed to the Certificates of Correction Branch at (571) 272-4200.

/John J. Gillon, Jr./  
John J. Gillon, Jr.  
Senior Attorney  
Office of Petitions

---

<sup>3</sup> The regulations at 37 C.F.R. §1.2 provide:

**§1.2 Business to be transacted in writing.**

All business with the Patent and Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.